

COMPUTATIONS IN MIXED ELLIPTIC MOTIVIC CHABAUTY–KIM: INITIAL REPORT

DAVID CORWIN, MARTIN LÜDTKE

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Effective Siegel–Faltings. Let X be a smooth proper curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over a number field k . The theorem of Faltings states that $X(k)$ is finite. A major open question is to find an algorithm for determining the finite set $X(k)$ given X/k . More generally, the combination of the theorems of Faltings, Siegel, and Mahler imply that whenever X is a smooth curve with negative (geometric) Euler characteristic, and S is a finite set of places of k , we have $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_{k,S})$ finite, for any $\mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ -modelⁱ \mathcal{X} of X .

This divides into three major cases based on the genus g of the smooth projective model of X :

- $g = 0$: X is an open subscheme of \mathbb{P}^1 with at least three punctures
- $g = 1$: X is an affine open subscheme of an elliptic curve
- $g \geq 2$: X is a projective curve or an open subscheme thereof, and the set of rational points is finite

This focuses on $g = 1$.

1.2. Non-Abelian Chabauty’s Method. The non-abelian Chabauty’s method of Minhyong Kim [Kim05, Kim09], also known as Chabauty–Kim, addresses the problem of determining $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_{k,S})$ effectively. For \mathcal{X} as above, \mathfrak{p} a maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{k,S}$, an integer n ,ⁱⁱ and a basepoint $b \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_{k,S})$, Kim constructs a diagram:

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_{k,S}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \\ \downarrow \kappa & & \downarrow \kappa_{\mathfrak{p}} \\ \mathrm{Sel}_{S,n}(\mathcal{X}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{loc}_n} & \mathrm{Sel}_n(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \searrow J_{\mathfrak{p}} \\ \xrightarrow[\log_{\mathrm{BK}}]{\sim} U_n^{\mathrm{dR}}/F^0 U_n^{\mathrm{dR}} \end{array}$$

The set $\mathrm{Sel}_{S,n}(\mathcal{X})$ is a global non-abelian Galois cohomology set of the form $H_{f,S}^1(G_k; U_n)$ or a finite union thereof,ⁱⁱⁱ where f refers to the Selmer conditions of Bloch–Kato, and U_n is the n th descending central series quotient of the \mathbb{Q}_p -unipotent geometric fundamental group of X (based at b). More details may be found in [Cor20, §4].

Date: April 5, 2026.

ⁱWe define an $\mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ -model as a finite type, separated, faithfully flat scheme \mathcal{X} over $\mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ with an isomorphism $\mathcal{X}_k \rightarrow X$.

ⁱⁱOften called the *depth*, although this conflicts with the notion of *depth* in the theory of multiple zeta values, so we prefer the term *level*.

ⁱⁱⁱTechnically, one must either expand S to include all places of bad reduction of X as in [Kim09] or take a finite union of twists as in [BD20].

We define the *Chabauty–Kim locus*:

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_p)_{S,n} := \kappa_p^{-1}(\text{Im}(\text{loc}_n)) = \int_p^{-1} (\text{Im}(\log_{\text{BK}} \circ \text{loc}_n)).$$

The *Chabauty–Kim ideal*

$$\mathcal{I}_{S,n} = \mathcal{I}_{S,n}(\mathcal{X})$$

of regular functions vanishing on the image of $\log_{\text{BK}} \circ \text{loc}_n$ pulls back to a set $\int_p^\#(\mathcal{I}_{S,n})$ of functions on $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_p)$ vanishing on $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_{k,S})$, with $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_p)_{S,n}$ defined as its set of common zeroes.

The main result of this paper is to compute $\mathcal{I}_{S,n}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_p)_{S,n}$ in cases not previously accessible to computation.

1.3. Application to Effective Siegel–Faltings. We recall some of the motivation for computing $\mathcal{I}_{S,n}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_p)_{S,n}$; specifically, how it relates to the problem of Effective Siegel–Faltings introduced in §1.1.

When

$$(2) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}_{S,n}(\mathcal{X}) < \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}_n(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_p),$$

the set $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_p)_{S,n}$ is finite, a consequence of the fact that κ_p has Zariski dense image ([Kim09, Theorem 1]).

Kim shows ([Kim09, Proposition 2]) that this inequality holds for sufficiently large n if a part of the Bloch–Kato Conjecture holds.

The following appears as [BDCKW18, Conjecture 3.1] for $S = \emptyset$ and in [BDCKW18, §8] as a remark about what one “might conjecture”:

Conjecture 1.1 (Kim’s Conjecture). *For $k = \mathbb{Q}$, a regular minimal model^{iv} \mathcal{X} and n sufficiently large, we have*

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_p)_{S,n} = \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_{k,S}).$$

This conjecture implies that if we can compute $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_p)_{S,n}$ up to arbitrary p -adic precision for all n , then there is an effective version of Faltings’s Theorem. More precisely, if we have a subset F of $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_{k,S})$, then to check that $F = \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_{k,S})$, we need only find some n for which $|\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_p)_{S,n}| = |F|$. Given a collection of p -adic analytic functions, the theory of Newton polygons then allows us to determine the total number of common zeroes.^v Thus effective Faltings over \mathbb{Q} is reduced modulo Conjecture 1.1 to the problem of computing, up to sufficient p -adic precision, the set of functions on U_n/F^0U_n that vanish on the image of $\log_{\text{BK}} \circ \text{loc}_n$.

2. THE MAIN RESULT

Our computations of $\mathcal{I}_{S,n}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_p)_{S,n}$ are in the case $n = 3$, and \mathcal{X} is a punctured elliptic curve over $\mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ with $k = \mathbb{Q}$ and $|S| = 1$. While we studied multiple elliptic curves, primes p , and sets S , we introduce some notation common to them all.

^{iv}Let g denote the genus of the smooth projective closure \overline{X} of X . Then \mathcal{X} is a *regular minimal model* if it is the complement of a reduced horizontal divisor in the regular minimal model $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ of \overline{X} over $\mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ (resp. in $\mathbb{P}^1/\mathcal{O}_{k,S}$) when $g \geq 1$ (resp. when $g = 0$).

^vTechnically it allows only to determine the number of zeroes *with multiplicity*, but a stronger version of Conjecture 1.1 resolves this by stating that $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_p)_{S,n}$ as an analytic space is reduced for sufficiently large n .

Let \mathcal{E} be the minimal Weierstrass model of an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Z}[1/S]$ with identity section $O \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}[1/S])$, let $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{E}' := \mathcal{E} \setminus \{O\}$, let $E := \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $X = E' = \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. We define the following Coleman analytic functions on $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ via iterated Coleman integrals.

We first set $\omega_0 := dx/y$, $\omega_1 := x dx/y$, both in $\Omega^1(X)$. We then define, for $z \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$:

- $J_1(z) := \int_O^z \omega_0$
- $J_2(z) := \int_O^z \omega_0 \omega_1$
- $J_3(z) := \int_O^z \omega_0 \omega_1 \omega_0$
- $J_4(z) := \int_O^z \omega_0 \omega_1 \omega_1 - 2 \int_O^z \omega_1$

Assume that E has p -Selmer rank 1, let α denote a choice of component of the Néron model of E at each place of \mathbb{Q} (following the method of [BD20], as explained in [Cor21, §3.5]), and let $S = \{\ell\}$ for some prime $\ell \neq p$. Then by [Cor21, Theorem 1.4], assuming $H_f^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}; V_p(E)(-1)) = 0$, there is a nonzero function of the form

$$(3) \quad c_1 J_4 + c_2 J_3 + c_3 J_1 J_2 + c_4 J_1^3 + c_5 J_1$$

vanishing on the subset $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{Z}[1/S])_{\alpha}$ of $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{Z}[1/S])$ reducing to α at each bad prime of \mathcal{E} , in which not all c_i are zero. As explained in [Cor21, §2.4], one may verify $H_f^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}; V_p(E)(-1)) = 0$ by computing $L_p(E, 2)$ and checking that it is nonzero. Note that [Cor21, Theorem 1.4] depends crucially on the main result of [CDC26].

Our main result is to determine such a function and compute its zero set in a few cases.

Theorem 2.1. *For E the elliptic curve $y^2 = x^3 + x^2 - 9x + 7$ (Cremona label ‘128a2’), $S = \{2\}$, and $p = 3, 5, 7$, we have a non-zero function of the form (3) in $\mathcal{I}_{\{2\},3}(\mathcal{X})$, with coefficients c_1, \dots, c_5 given as follows:*

	$p = 3$	$p = 5$	$p = 7$
c_1	1	1	1
c_2	$123659722/3^2 + O(3^{15})$	$202228537903/5^2 + O(5^{15})$	$24079823184153/7 + O(7^{15})$
c_3	$113658899/3^2 + O(3^{15})$	$106941634807/5^2 + O(5^{15})$	$27884130819516/7 + O(7^{15})$
c_4	$667280621/3^4 + O(3^{15})$	$2783496064817/5^3 + O(5^{15})$	$150212174658502/7^2 + O(7^{15})$
c_5	$65854279/3^2 + O(3^{15})$	$64558043327/5 + O(5^{15})$	$4066190634281 + O(7^{15})$

The Chabauty–Kim locus $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{Z}_p)_{\{2\},3}$ consists of the 13 known points

$$(-3, -4), (-3, 4), (-1, -4), (-1, 4), (1, 0), (2, -1), (2, 1), (3, -4), (3, 4), \\ (29/4, -155/8), (29/4, 155/8), (19, -84), (19, 84),$$

along with the additional p -adic points with x -coordinates as follows:

$p = 3$	$p = 5$	$p = 7$
$5830650 + O(3^{15})$	$166703174 + O(5^{15})$	$-1 + 2\sqrt{2}$
	$1482191568 + O(5^{15})$	$-1 - 2\sqrt{2}$
	$9650625907 + O(5^{15})$	$574758317373 + O(7^{15})$
	$25074712578 + O(5^{15})$	$1162578601155 + O(7^{15})$
		$2351419738405 + O(7^{15})$
		$2597613053696 + O(7^{15})$
		$3582802419202 + O(7^{15})$
		$4286473007874 + O(7^{15})$

REFERENCES

- [BD20] L. Alexander Betts and Netan Dogra. The local theory of unipotent Kummer maps and refined Selmer schemes, 2020. arXiv: 1909.05734v2.
- [BDCKW18] Jennifer S. Balakrishnan, Ishai Dan-Cohen, Minhyong Kim, and Stefan Wewers. A non-abelian conjecture of Tate–Shafarevich type for hyperbolic curves. *Math. Ann.*, 372(1-2):369–428, 2018.
- [CDC26] David Corwin and Ishai Dan-Cohen. p -adic periods and Selmer scheme images, 2026. arXiv: 2601.16591v1.
- [Cor20] David Corwin. From Chabauty’s method to Kim’s non-abelian Chabauty’s method. <https://www.math.bgu.ac.il/~corwind/files/expository/ChabautytoKim.pdf>, 2020.
- [Cor21] David Corwin. Explicit motivic mixed elliptic Chabauty-Kim, 2021. arXiv: 2102.08371v1.
- [Kim05] Minhyong Kim. The motivic fundamental group of $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ and the theorem of Siegel. *Invent. Math.*, 161(3):629–656, 2005.
- [Kim09] Minhyong Kim. The unipotent Albanese map and Selmer varieties for curves. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 45(1):89–133, 2009.